

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 were pending in the application. Claims 1-6 are being amended. Claims 7-8 are being canceled. New claims 9-14 are being added. The language of new claims 9-11 is based on the language of claim 1 as originally filed and the description to Figs. 4A-4B. The language of new claims 12-14 is based on claims 7-8 as originally filed.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicant notes that the Examiner acknowledged a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 to Polish Patent Application No. P-355927, filed September 9, 2002, and confirmed that all certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

IDS

Applicant notes with appreciation that the Examiner has considered the information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on September 8, 2003.

All outstanding requirements will now be addressed in the order they appear in the Office Action mailed September 19, 2007.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 stands objected to because of the word "list" has been misspelled in the last sentence of claim 1, page 4 (line 18). The very same error was also present in the last sentence of the abstract. Applicant has amended in claim 1 and submitted a new abstract.

Claims Rejections - 35 USC §102

2-3. Claims 1, 2 and 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by Knudson et al (Pub. No 2006/0095937).

Applicant respectfully disagrees. Knudson discloses a set-top box with channel listings, configured to display information about the listing where a channel belongs to and other characteristics, such as ratings. However, Knudson does not disclose that after selecting the function of moving to the next list an identifier is fetched from storage of the handling device, i.e. the decoder of the digital television, of the service that has been recently viewed on the list, which is next after the one displayed during selection of this function or the first from the next list, and the handling device is set to receive this service. The method disclosed by Knudson relates to selection of channels to be assigned to specific lists, and it does not disclose a method for access to the lists as claimed by the present claim 1.

Therefore, the Applicant believes that the currently amended claim 1 is not anticipated by Knudson.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection with respect to claim 1 as amended, and claims 2, 4 as previously presented, in view of arguments presented above.

Claims Rejections - 35 USC §103

4-7. Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Knudson in view of Webster, James G; Phales, Patria F; Lichty, Lawrence W. "Rating Analysis" (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000), chapter 2, pages 27-28. Furthermore, claims 5 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Knudson in view of Goldman (PG Pub US 2002/0112239) whereas claims 7 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Knudson in view of Bennington et al (Pub No US 2004/0168188).

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection with respect to claims 3 and 5-6 in view of their dependence on the currently amended claim 1 and the arguments presented with respect to claim 1.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable reconsideration is respectfully solicited. Should an extension of time be required, Applicant hereby petitions for same and requests that the extension fee and any other fee required for timely consideration of this submission only be charged to **Deposit Account No. 503182**.

Customer Number: **33,794**

Date: January 16, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

/Matthias Scholl/

Dr. Matthias Scholl, Esq.
Reg. No. 54,947
Attorney of Record